



Mahatma Gandhi Mission's
College of Engineering, Nanded-431605
ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM

Dear Alumni

We shall be thankful and appreciate, if you could spare some of your valuable time to fill up this feedback form and give us your valuable suggestions for academic improvement of the Institute. Your valuable inputs will be of great use to us in improving the quality of our academic programs and to enhance the curriculum.

Name of the Alumni	Omkarshree Sainath Basave	Phone no. & Email ID	7499816725
Degree	B.Tech. Mech. Engg.		
Branch	Mechanical Engg		
Year of Passing	2020		
Professional Details			
Name of the Organization	TCS		
Designation	System Engineer		
Year of Joining	27/01/2022		

Suggestions:

Relevance of curriculum in your Job:

Even though I studied Mech. Engg, the skills I gained like problem solving and critical thinking, helping me a lot in my job in my job. They are like tools in my toolbox that I use everyday.

Need any change in curriculum and syllabus:

Some part of computer science & software Engg be offered to Mech. program, the skills which became more important in today's job market.

Improvements in teaching and learning Process:

Yes, I would suggest to add more practical & project based classes. We had good learning experience and suggest to find more ways to make learning more interesting and effective.

Any other suggestions/comments:

Signature Omkar

Date: 25/11/2023



Mahatma Gandhi Mission's
College of Engineering, Nanded-431605

PARENT FEEDBACK FORM

Academic Year 2022-2023

Dear Parents,

For the improvement of general academic environment of the college, your sincere feedback is expected. You are requested to tick [✓] in the columns against each item.

Name of Student: Gaurav Chavhan Class 24-A Semester II

PARAMETERS	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor
How is the ambience of the college with respect to the facilities given to your wards?	✓			
Do you think that the college environment is conducive for the overall development of your ward?	✓			
Are you satisfied with the existing curriculum contents?	✓			
Is your ward given enough exposure as far as career orientation is concerned?		✓		
Is the environment of the college congenial for the students to discuss their problems?	✓			
Is your ward happy with the hostel facilities?				
Are you satisfied with the communication at the college end regarding the regular performance of your ward?	✓			

Any suggestions about the syllabus:

Lunch time is less It should be of one hour.

Any other suggestions:

NO

Name of Parent: Vijay Fattu Chavhan

Signature of Parent: [Signature]

Date: 12 April 2023



Mahatma Gandhi Mission's
College of Engineering, Nanded-431605
TEACHERS' FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM
Session 2022-2023

Class:- SY CSE Semester:- II Date:- 12 April 2023

Dear Teacher

For the improvement of curriculum contents, your sincere feedback is expected. Please tick [✓], if course is useful in front of that course in 'Remark' column, otherwise tick [X]. Please mention any other courses which you think should be added to the curriculum.

Sr No	Name of the Course	Remark
1	Design & Analysis of Algorithm	Practicals should be added.
2		
3	Python Programming Lab	Should have separate credit.
4		
5		
6		

Your suggestions Encourage engineering institutions to revise their curricula to include more practical subjects.

Name & Signature of Teacher

Dr. Kayre B.S.



Mahatma Gandhi Mission's
College of Engineering, Nanded - 431605

FEEDBACK FORM

session 2 - 2

Class:- B-tech Semester:- VII Date:- 5-12-22

Dear Student,

For the improvement of general academic environment of the college your sincere feedback is expected. Please indicate one of the following numbers in the spaces provided in columns for each Theory and Practical subjects as your comparative assessment of the quality of teaching.

Your percentage in the Class (Tick appropriate box)	Above 80% <input type="checkbox"/>	Between 65% to 80% <input type="checkbox"/>	Between 50% to 65% <input type="checkbox"/>	Below 50% <input type="checkbox"/>
--	------------------------------------	---	---	------------------------------------

Sr.No.	Name of the Theory Course	Name of the Teacher	4. Excellent	3. Good / Adequate	2. Average / Satisfactory	1. Poor / Unsatisfactory
CR 1	MP-III	Bandela sir				
CR 2	MPX	Kadam sir				
CR 3	CAD-CAM	Rehman sir				
CR 4	IEM	Bandela sir				
CR 5	EE	Savale sir				

Your responses below are for the purpose of evaluation.

Sr.No.	Please respond to items below by writing 4 / 3 / 2 / 1	CR 1	CR 2	CR 3	CR 4	CR 5
1.	How many lectures were conducted ?	4	4	4	4	
2.	How much is the syllabus coverage ?	4	3	4	3	
3.	Whether the lectures were organized as per time table and regularly held during the semester ?	4	3	4	4	
4.	Whether the lectures were well prepared, organized and course material is well structured ?	3	4	4	3	
5.	Was the Blackboard writing clear and organized ?	3	4	4	3	
6.	Were any Audio-Visual Aids used ?	4	3	4	4	
7.	Were the lectures delivered with emphasize of fundamental concepts and with illustrative examples ?	4	4	4	4	
8.	Whether difficult topics were taught with adequate attention and ease ?	4	4	4	4	
9.	Did the faculty provide you new knowledge and has command over the subject ?	4	3	3	3	
10.	Was the instructor enthusiastic about teaching ?	3	3	3	3	
11.	Was the instructor able to deliver lectures with good communication skill ?	4	3	4	3	
12.	Were you encouraged to ask questions, to make lectures interactive and lively ?	4	2	3	4	
13.	Did the course improve your understanding of concepts, principles in this field and motivated you to think and learn?	4	2	3	4	
14.	Were the assignments and tests challenging ? (with new & novel problem solving approach)	3	3	2	4	
15.	Was the evaluation fair and impartial ? And did it help you to improve ?	4	4	4	4	
16.	Whether the teacher was effective in preparing students for exams ?	3	3	3	3	
17.	Whether teacher was always accessible to the students for counseling, guidance and solving queries off the classroom hours.	3	2	4	4	
TOTAL SCORE / INDEX (MAX.72)		62	62	63	61	
Convert to percentage (%)						
What is your overall impression about the teacher ? (Write your choice O/E/V/G/S/N in the appropriate boxes.) (O) Outstanding / (E) Excellent / (V) Very good / (G) Good / (S) Satisfactory / (N) Not Satisfactory		G	E	E	E	

Practical Courses

Sr.No.	Name of the Practical Course	Name of the Teacher	
PR 1	MP-II machine shop	B.C.V	4. Excellent
PR 2	MTX lab	N.A. Badam	3. Good / Adequate
PR 3	CAD - CAM	A.J. Rohman	2. Average / Satisfactory
PR 4			1. Poor / Unsatisfactory
PR 5			

Sr. No.	Name of the Laboratory	Name of the Laboratory Asst./ Programmer	Is Lab maintained regularly?	Co-operation of Lab Assistant / programmer
PR 1				
PR 2				
PR 3				
PR 4				
PR 5				

Your responses below are for the purpose of evaluation.

Sr.No.	Please respond to items below by writing 4 / 3 / 2 / 1	PR 1	PR 2	PR 3	PR 4	PR 5
1.	Was the selection of experiment commensurate with the theory ?	3	3	3	4	
2.	Performance of the experiment :	4	4	3	4	
	a) Whether experimental set-up was well maintained, fully operational & adequate ?	1	2	3	4	
	b) Whether teacher helped you in understanding the experimental observations / outcome and explaining the difficulties raised while performing the experiment ?	4	4	4	2	
	c) Was the experiment leading towards proper conclusions / interpretations ?	3	3	3	3	
	d) Whether the experiment could trigger you for any creative idea?	4	4	4	4	
3.	Submission of Experiment :	3	2	2	4	
	a) Whether precise, updated & self-explanatory lab manuals were provided ?	4	3	4	4	
	b) Whether submission of experimental write-up was routine & repetitive ?	4	4	4	4	
	c) Whether teacher does assessment of experiment regularly and gives feedback ?	3	4	3	4	
4.	Whether the entire lab session was useful in clarifying your knowledge of the theory ?	4	3	2	4	
5.	Whether you are confident with the use of the concepts, instruments and their application in further studies ?	4	4	4	4	
TOTAL SCORE / INDEX (MAX.40)						
Convert to percentage (%)						
What is your overall impression about the teacher ? (Write your choice O/E/V/G/S/N in the appropriate boxes.) (O) Outstanding / (E) Excellent / (V) Very good / (G) Good / (S) Satisfactory / (N) Not Satisfactory						

General

Sr.No.	Name of the Section	Evaluation in respect of	1	2	3	4
1.	Principal	Availability to students	✓			
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students		✓		
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students		✓		
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation			✓	
2.	Head of the Department	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students		✓		
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation		✓		
3.	Library	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students		✓		
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students		✓		
		General Evaluation			✓	
4.	Training and Placement	Availability to students				✓
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation		✓		
5.	Student Section	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation				✓
6.	Accounts Section	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation				✓
7.	Site Section	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation				✓
8.	Sports	Availability to students			✓	
		Attending the difficulties/demands of students			✓	
		Behavior with students			✓	
		Service to students			✓	
		Satisfaction of expectation of students			✓	
		General Evaluation			✓	

A) What are your major suggestions for improvement :-

① Mech department fix the mirror in bathroom.

2.

3.

B) What are the things you like about your institute and what would you like to improve upon?
Things you like.....

① In mechanical department arrange the sound system in classroom.

2.

3.

Things you would like to improve upon.....

1. ① Arrange the industrial tour

2.

3. ② Improve the quality of water.

C) What are the subjects in your opinion should be added in the syllabus of the current academic year?

1.

2.

3.